

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/691,541	10/18/2000	Kyou-Woong Kim	678-538(P9549)	5370
7590 05/21/2004 Paul J. Farrell, Esq. DILWORTH & BARRESE 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, PABLO N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Uniondale, NY 11553			2685	0
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	09/691,541	KIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pablo N Tran	2685			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica: - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .				
	 ⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to t	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Apple priority documents have been to Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
	. a liet of the contined copies flot i				
Attachment(s)	-				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 	4) Lanterview St	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/691,541

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Steer* (6,643,517) in view of *Sawada* (6,421,544).

As per claim 1-2, *Steer* disclosed a method for determining a paging alert mode of a MS wherein determining whether there exist a sub-cell (sub-area or sub-zone) based on a broadcasting channel message provide from a main cell, receiving a page from the sub-cell, and upon receipt of the page changing the alert mode to a predetermined alert mode (fig. 3, abstract, col. 4/ln. 46-col. 8/ln. 7).

Steer does not specifically disclose a base transceiver system resident of the sub-cell. However, such sub-cell having a BTS is well known in the art, as taught by Sawada (fig. 1/no. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a BTS of a sub-cell, as taught by Sawada, to the location detection system of Steer, in order to provide a precise location of the MS so that upon entering this exact location, certain operation modes of the MS can be triggered.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,541

Art Unit: 2685

As per claim 3, the modified communication system of *Steer* disclosed broadcast signaling (where it is clear that broadcast signaling is transmission to a plurality of MS within the region and or cells).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 4-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 8-19 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hardouin (5,966,655), Murto (5,966,662), Heinonen et al. (6,633,758), Anttila et al. (6,721,542), Havinis et al. (6,463,289), Owensby (6,647,257), Finnell et al. (6,625,428), Kwon et al. (6,449,481), Charas (6,549,531), Chen et al. (6,496,701), Cohen et al. (6,463,265), Heinonen et al. (6,438,385), Guenther (6,356,762), Lee (6,671,506), and Ritzen et al. (6,510,321) disclose radiotelephone communication system.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N. TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 14, 2004

AU268)